

Report Title: AMENDED MOTION - Motion by Councillor Jo Beavis – Development of a Community Delivery Plan for 2024-2028	
Report to: Council	
Date: 11 th December 2023	For: Debate
Key Decision: No	Decision Planner Ref No: N/A
Motion Presented by:	Councillor J Beavis
Motion Seconded by:	Councillor J Abbott
Motion Support by:	Councillors T Walsh, P Thorogood, A Hooks, M Finch and M Staines
Enquiries to: Emma Wisbey, Legal and Governance Manager emma.wisbey@braintree.gov.uk	

1. Constitution provisions

Further to the publication of the Council Agenda, Councillor J Beavis and Councillor J Abbott as the Proposer and Secunder of the original Motion have accepted an Amendment to the Motion which was proposed by Councillor G Butland and seconded by Councillor T Cunningham. The original motion is set out on page 6 of the agenda.

The Amendment was submitted in accordance with Rule 12:20 of Chapter 2 of the Constitution.

In accepting the Amendment, the amended Motion is the Substantive Motion for debate by Full Council and is set out in section 2 below.

For the avoidance of doubt in accepting the Amendment, there is no need for full Council to debate and vote on the Amendment.

2. Substantive Motion:

Following the engagement process and publication of the Council's Corporate Strategy 2024 – 2028, and aligning with the MTFs 2024-28, the Council will consider developing a Community Delivery Plan for 2025 – 2028.

This Plan could facilitate the delivery of specific local projects and improvements to benefit residents and communities fairly across the whole of Braintree District.

In order to establish whether such a plan would provide added value Council requests the Cabinet to set up an all-party Member Working Group to examine the concept with particular, but not exclusive, reference to the following issues:-

- 1) The likely level of officer and financial resources needed by the Authority to prepare and execute such a plan;
- 2) The linkage with the Corporate Strategy, the MTFS, the Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plans, and existing Community Grant schemes;
- 3) The way and extent to which local communities could be involved in developing such a plan, recognising the diverse nature of the District;
- 4) The way in which partner organisations responsible for issues such as Health, Social Care, Community Safety, the Environment, Transport and Sustainability should be involved;
- 5) How “fairly” should be determined, for example geographically, by reference to the Index of Multiple Deprivation, or by Community Groups.