

Minutes

Local Plan Sub-Committee

16th March 2016

Present:

Councillors	Present	Councillors	Present
D Bebb	Yes	Mrs J Money	Yes
Mrs L Bowers-Flint (Chairman)	Yes	Lady Newton	Yes
G Butland	Apologies	J O'Reilly-Cicconi	Apologies
T Cunningham	Yes	Mrs W Scattergood	Yes
D Hume	Yes	Miss M Thorogood	Yes

Councillors Bowers, Mrs Parker, Ramage, Schwier and Tattersley were also in attendance.

47 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

INFORMATION: There were no interests declared.

48 **MINUTES**

INFORMATION: There were no Minutes to approve.

49 **QUESTION TIME**

INFORMATION: There were five statements made. Details of the people who spoke at the meeting are contained in the Appendix to these Minutes.

Principally, these Minutes record decisions taken only and, where appropriate, the reasons for the decisions.

50 **BRAINTREE DISTRICT DRAFT LOCAL PLAN – DRAFT VILLAGE SITE ALLOCATION MAPS**

INFORMATION: Consideration was given to a report on proposed site allocation Inset Maps for specific villages to be included in the draft Braintree District Local Plan. The Inset Maps were attached as Appendices to the report and set out key data for each settlement, including development boundaries, Conservation Areas, areas allocated for development and areas protected for specific uses such as open space, allotments and employment. As part of the preparation of the draft Local Plan the development boundaries and allocations for each town and village in the District had been reviewed and an assessment had been made of potential development sites submitted as part of the 'Call for Sites' process. Consultation

had taken place with Town and Parish Councils regarding the draft Inset Maps and potential development sites. The preferred Inset Map for each defined settlement, together with a map showing alternative development site options considered but not taken forward, would be contained within the draft Local Plan for public consultation in the Summer.

DECISION:

- (1) That there is no development boundary for Alphamstone and no sites are allocated for development.
- (2) That the Inset Map for Audley End as set out in Appendix 3 be approved.
- (3) That the Inset Map for Belchamp Otten as set out in Appendix 4 be approved and no sites are allocated for development.
- (4) That the Inset Map for Belchamp St Paul as set out in Appendix 5 be approved and no sites are allocated for development.
- (5) That there is no development boundary for Birdbrook.
- (6) That there is no development boundary for Borley and no sites are allocated for development.
- (7) That the Inset Map for Lamarsh as set out in Appendix 8 be approved.
- (8) That there is no development boundary for Middleton.
- (9) That there is no development boundary for Ovington and no sites are allocated for development.
- (10) That there is no development boundary for Pentlow and no sites are allocated for development.
- (11) That the Inset Maps for Stambourne Chapel End Way and Stambourne Dyers End as set out in Appendix 12 be approved.
- (12) That there is no development boundary for Great Henny and Little Henny.
- (13) That the Inset Map for Tilbury Juxta Clare as set out in Appendix 14 be approved.
- (14) That there is no development boundary for Twinstead.
- (15) That the Inset Map for Wickham St Paul as set out in Appendix 16 be approved and no sites are allocated for development.
- (16) That the Inset Map for Great Bardfield as set out in Appendix 17 be approved and no sites are allocated for development.
- (17) That the Inset Map for Finchingfield as set out in Appendix 18 be approved and no sites are allocated for development.

- (18) That the Inset Map for Cornish Hall End as set out in Appendix 19 be approved including a minor amendment to the development boundary to include Willow Tree House.
- (19) That the Inset Map for Panfield as set out in Appendix 20 be approved and no sites are allocated for development.
- (20) That the Inset Map for Ridgewell as set out in Appendix 21 be approved including the allocation of site RIDG359 for residential development, and the extension of the development boundary on Stambourne Road, to the rear of the Kings Head Public House and to include RIDG356.
- (21) That the Inset Map for Steeple Bumpstead as set out in Appendix 22 be approved including the allocation of site STEB395 for residential development.
- (22) That the Inset Map for White Notley as set out in Appendix 23 be approved and no sites are allocated for development.

The meeting commenced at 6.00pm and closed at 7.28pm.

Councillor Mrs L Bowers-Flint
(Chairman)

APPENDIX

LOCAL PLAN SUB-COMMITTEE

16TH MARCH 2016

PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Details of Questions Asked / Statements Made During Public Question Time

1 Statement Relating to Strategic Development – General Point

Statement by Mr Peter Martin, The Glebe, Great Saling

2 Statement Relating to Agenda Item 5 – Braintree District Draft Local Plan - Draft Village Site Allocation Maps, Borley

Statement by Dr D New, Bunturs, Hall Road, Borley (Supporter)

3 Statement Relating to Agenda Item 5 – Braintree District Draft Local Plan - Draft Village Site Allocation Maps, Site RIDG356 - rear of Pineside, Ashen Road, Ridgewell

Statement by Mr Derek Mason, Roserne, Church Road, Greenstead Green (Supporter)

4 Statement Relating to Agenda Item 5 – Braintree District Draft Local Plan - Draft Village Site Allocation Maps, Site RIDG356 - rear of Pineside, Ashen Road, Ridgewell

Statement by Councillor Mrs Iona Parker, Bendysh House, North End Road, Little Yeldham (Supporter)

5 Statement Relating to Agenda Item 5 – Braintree District Draft Local Plan - Draft Village Site Allocation Maps, Site STEB 393 - land to the rear of 40-58 North Street, Steeple Bumpstead

Statement by Councillor Kerry Barnes, Chairman of Steeple Bumpstead Parish Council, c/o Mrs June Argent, Clerk to Steeple Bumpstead Parish Council, The Moot Hall, Chapel Street, Steeple Bumpstead (Supporter)